

Alexander A. Belov[♡]Int.Inst.for Math.Geophys.and Predictions Theory
Warshavskoe sh. 79, k.2 Moscow 113556 RussiaKaren D. Chaltikian[◇]Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics
Vorobyevskoe sh.2, Moscow 117940 RussiaLATTICE ANALOGUE OF W-INFINITY ALGEBRA
AND DISCRETE KP-HIERARCHY

Abstract

In development of the started activity on lattice analogues of W -algebras, we define the notion of lattice W_∞ -algebra, associated with lattice integrable system with infinite set of fields. Various kinds of reduction to lattice W_N -algebras, related to discrete N -KdV hierarchies are described. We also discuss the connection of our results with those obtained in the papers of Xiong [13] and Bonora [14].

0. Introduction

Recently there has been a great interest in the lattice analogues of 2D field theory models possessing conformal invariance [1-4]. For the first time this interest arised in connection with Liouville model [1-3]. As is well known, its quantization in continuous limit is rather difficult in the range of central charges $1 < c < 25$, where usual perturbation theory is inapplicable. 'Latticization' of the world sheet can be viewed as some alternative to usual point-splitting method of regularization. That's why lattice conformal theories give another way of quantization, more convenient in some cases in comparison with that of based on normal ordering procedure. An additional motivation for studying the lattice analogue of conformal invariance comes from the traditional understanding of Integrable Massive models as appropriate deformations of Conformal ones [5-7]. It seems highly desirable that this important concept be formulated on purely lattice language.

In the previous paper [8] authors presented a natural lattice analogue of W_3 -algebra. This algebra has been obtained as W_3 -generalization of the Faddeev-Takhtadjan-Volkov (FTV) algebra [1], which is considered presently as lattice analogue of Virasoro algebra. In the paper [8] we proposed some general method to obtain classical and quantum versions of lattice W -algebras (LW), based on Feigin construction of lattice screening charges [9]. This method in principle guarantees the possibility to obtain any LW -algebra with finite number of fields with integer spins. Besides, considering such an algebra as *second* Poisson structure one can easily find the corresponding *first* structure and a family of involutive integrals of motion. Further on *true* lattice analogues of W_N algebras (second structure) will be referred to as LW_N and the corresponding first structure to as L_*W_N . The main drawback of the abovementioned construction is that it does not look to admit straightforward generalization for the case of the infinite number of fields. The aim of present paper is to declare an alternative method of building L_*W_N -algebras, based on certain

[♡] e-mail: mitpan@adonis.ias.msk.su [Belov]

[◇] e-mail: mitpan@adonis.ias.msk.su [Chaltikian], chalt@cpd.landau.free.msk.su

reductions of some *guessed*¹ lattice analogue of the *first* Poisson structure for W_∞ and to find its relation with some integrable models.

The detailed plan of the paper is as follows. In Sect.1 we remind the main results of the paper [8], concerning free field representation of LW_3 algebra, and its bi-hamiltonian structure which led us to the notion of L_*W_∞ and give some additional motivations of the work. In Sect.2 we discuss some interesting properties of the Toda Lattice Hierarchy (TLH), which turn out to be closely related with the notion of "lattice conformal symmetry" and certain L_*W_∞ reductions. In Sect.3 we give the central definition of L_*W_∞ and LW_∞ , discuss two various kinds of their reductions to the algebras with finite number of fields. We also compare our results with those of Xiong [13] and Bonora [14]. Sect.4 is devoted to (bi-)hamiltonian structure of the integrable model, possessing LW_∞ -symmetry and Lax representation of the model and discuss its connection with both types of reduction. In the Appendix we bring some useful formulas concerning the multihamiltonian structure of TLH.

Acknowledgments

Authors are greatly indebted to Prof. I.M.Krichever for valuable discussions and very useful remarks. We also thank Prof. A.S.Mezhlumian for the help in preparation of the text for publication.

1. LW_3 -algebra – starting point.

This section contains some basic definitions and results and can be considered as practical introduction in the subject. We start from Feigin construction of lattice screening vertex operators. Basic lattice variables being the direct analogues of continuum screening charges [10] are defined via their commutation relations as follows:

$$a_i(m)a_j(n) = q^{A_{ij}} a_j(n)a_i(m), \text{ when } n > m \quad (2')$$

and

$$a_i(n)a_{i+1}(n) = q^{-1} a_{i+1}(n)a_i(n)$$

$$a_i(n)a_j(n) = a_j(n)a_i(n), \text{ when } |i - j| \geq 2$$

where A_{ij} is Cartan matrix of the appropriate semisimple Lie algebra. Note that q here can be treated as free parameter contrary to the continuous case where it was rigidly determined. Correspondingly, lattice analogues of the screening charges are defined as

$$Q_i = \sum_n a_i(n)$$

For the case of SL_3 we denote basic lattice vertices by b_n and c_n . Further on we will discuss only classical case, the original Poisson brackets (PB) becoming

$$\{b_n, b_m\} = \epsilon(m - n)b_n b_m \quad \{c_n, c_m\} = \epsilon(m - n)c_n c_m$$

$$\{b_n, c_m\} = \theta(n - m)b_n c_m$$

where $\epsilon(n)$ and $\theta(n)$ are standardly defined as

$$\epsilon(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ -1, & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases} \quad \theta(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

¹ An algebra related to ours via some *involutive* transformation recently has been obtained in the paper of Xiong [13]. Detailed discussion is given in Sect.3

Going further in parallel with the continuum limit, Feigin proposed to define *Vir* and *W* lattice generators as 3- and 4-point objects lying in the **zero-graded part of kernel of the adjoint action of lattice screening charges**, the gradation being defined as ($\bar{a} \equiv a^{-1}$):

$$\deg(a_r(n)) = 1 \quad \deg(\bar{a}_r(n)) = -1$$

Introducing more convenient variables p_n and d_n

$$p_n \equiv \bar{b}_n b_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad d_n \equiv c_n \bar{c}_{n+1}$$

with the local PB's

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_n, p_{n+1}\} &= p_n p_{n+1} & \{p_n, d_n\} &= p_n d_n \\ \{d_n, d_{n+1}\} &= d_n d_{n+1} & \{p_{n+1}, d_n\} &= -p_{n+1} d_n \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

after some calculations we obtain the following expressions for bi- and three-local (in terms of original vertices) generators [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= (p_{n+1} d_n + p_{n+1} + d_n)(1 + p_n + d_n)^{-1}(1 + p_{n+1} + d_{n+1})^{-1} \\ W_n &= d_n p_{n+2}(1 + p_n + d_n)^{-1}(1 + p_{n+1} + d_{n+1})^{-1}(1 + p_{n+2} + d_{n+2})^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

These fields form closed algebra LW_3 [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \{L_n, L_{n+1}\} &= (L_n L_{n+1} - W_n)(1 - L_n - L_{n+1}) & \{L_n, L_{n+2}\} &= -L_n L_{n+1} L_{n+2} + W_n L_{n+2} + W_{n+1} L_n \\ \{L_n, W_n\} &= -W_n L_n L_{n+1} + W_n^2 = \{W_n, L_{n+1}\} \\ \{W_n, L_{n+3}\} &= -W_n L_{n+2} L_{n+3} + W_n W_{n+2} & \{W_n, L_{n+2}\} &= W_n L_{n+2}(1 - L_{n+1} - L_{n+2}) + W_n W_{n+1} \\ \{L_n, W_{n+1}\} &= L_n W_{n+1}(1 - L_n - L_{n+1}) + W_n W_{n+1} & \{L_n, W_{n+2}\} &= -L_n L_{n+1} W_{n+2} + W_n W_{n+2} \\ \{W_n, W_{n+1}\} &= W_n W_{n+1}(1 - L_n - L_{n+2}) & \{W_n, W_{n+2}\} &= W_n W_{n+2}(1 - L_{n+1} - L_{n+2}) \\ \{W_n, W_{n+3}\} &= -W_n W_{n+3} L_{n+2} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In continuous limit, setting $x \equiv n\Delta$

$$W_n \longrightarrow \frac{1}{27}(1 - \Delta^2 u(x) - \frac{\Delta^3}{2} w(x))$$

$$L_n \longrightarrow \frac{1}{3}(1 - \frac{\Delta^2}{3} u(x))$$

one can easily check that the fields $u(x)$ and $w(x)$ form classical W_3 -algebra.

Indeed, the same path beginning from the single vertex a_n , can be passed in order to obtain FTV algebra [9], appeared first in the paper on lattice Liouville theory and Volterra model [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \{S_n, S_{n+1}\} &= S_n S_{n+1}(1 - S_n - S_{n+1}) \\ \{S_n, S_{n+2}\} &= -S_n S_{n+1} S_{n+2} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where S_n has the following representation ($p_n = \bar{a}_n a_{n+1}$) [1,2,9]:

$$S_n = p_{n+1}(1 + p_n)^{-1}(1 + p_{n+1})^{-1}$$

Strange as it might seem that the fields L_n do not form a subalgebra in LW_3 . However, looking attentively at the first line of commutation relations (3), one can see that setting $W_n = 0$ one obtains FTV algebra (4).

The model with symmetry (3), as well as Volterra model [3], turns out to possess multihamiltonian structure [8]. Namely, one can set the following first structure on the space of the fields L_n and W_n , viewing (3) as the *second* one:

$$\begin{aligned}\{L_n, L_{n+1}\}_1 &= L_n L_{n+1} - W_n & \{W_n, W_{n+1}\}_1 &= W_n W_{n+1} \\ \{L_{n+2}, W_n\}_1 &= -L_{n+2} W_n & \{L_n, W_{n+1}\}_1 &= L_n W_{n+1} \\ \{W_n, W_{n+2}\}_1 &= W_n W_{n+2}\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

This algebra will be further referred to as $L_* W_3$.

One can easily check, that the following are the involutive hamiltonians of the model

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{(1)} &= \sum_n L_n \\ \mathcal{H}^{(2)} &= \sum_n \left(\frac{L_n^2}{2} + L_n L_{n+1} - W_n \right) \\ \mathcal{H}^{(3)} &= \sum_n \left(\frac{L_n^3}{3} + L_n^2 L_{n+1} + L_n L_{n+1}^2 + L_n L_{n+1} L_{n+2} - W_n (L_{n+1} + L_{n+2}) - L_n (W_n + W_{n+1}) \right)\end{aligned}$$

related by the recursion

$$\{\mathcal{H}^{(i)}, \Psi\}_2 = \{\mathcal{H}^{(i+1)}, \Psi\}_1$$

where Ψ is any field from the set $\{L_n, W_n\}$.

2. Doubling transformation in reduced TLH.

In this section we briefly discuss some interesting properties of the well-known TL system [11,12] which turn out to be relevant for the subject involved. We start from the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_T = \sum_n \left(U_n + \frac{p_n^2}{2} \right)\tag{6}$$

where $U_n = e^{q_n - q_{n-1}}$ and Poisson structure is standard

$$\{p_n, q_m\} = \delta_{n,m}\tag{7}$$

This system possess multihamiltonian structure [11-13], and one can easily obtain the recursion operator and higher Poisson structures (complete derivations are brought in the Appendix). It will be convenient for us to refer to the original PB (7) as $\frac{1}{2}$ -bracket. Then the *first* bracket of TL is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\{U_n, U_{n+1}\} &= -U_n U_{n+1} & \{p_n, p_{n+1}\} &= -U_{n+1} \\ \{U_n, p_n\} &= -U_n p_n & \{U_{n+1}, p_n\} &= U_{n+1} p_n\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Our further interest will be in the "restricted" model with $p_n = 0$, or in other words, with all "half-integer" times equal to zero. In this case, as is well-known TL becomes the Volterra model [12]. Through the recursion procedure (A.4) one can find its *second* structure to be the FTV algebra, which we write here in a more convenient than (4) but equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned}\{U_n, U_{n+1}\}_2 &= -U_n U_{n+1} (U_n + U_{n+1}) \\ \{U_n, U_{n+2}\}_2 &= -U_n U_{n+1} U_{n+2}\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

We note that the canonical FTV algebra can be obtained either as a linear combination of the compatible structures (9) and (8) or as a *second* structure for the system of hamiltonians, differing from the original ones by some linear transformation.

The recursion procedure provides us also with $\frac{3}{2}$ -bracket (see Appendix) but we do not bring it here as it is identical zero on the surface $p_n = 0$ [13].

Now we describe the **doubling** transformation of the TLH. For this introduce the notations

$$u_n = U_{2n} \quad v_n = U_{2n+1} \quad (10)$$

and define the fields

$$p_n^{(1)} \equiv u_n + v_n \quad U_n^{(1)} \equiv v_{n-1}u_n$$

One readily checks that these fields form algebra (8). Indeed, this "doubling" procedure can be carried on again. One can obtain TLH's for the set of pairs $(p_n^{(i)}, U_n^{(i)})$. Thus, a separate interesting problem of studying this system as a whole arises. However, as this is not the subject of the present paper, we will not elaborate on it here.

Let us consider another realization of the algebra (8) from the "doubled" variables, introducing the generators as

$$l_n \equiv p_n \quad w_n \equiv u_n u_{n+1} + u_n v_{n+1} + v_n v_{n+1}$$

The relations have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \{l_n, l_{n+1}\} &= -l_n l_{n+1} + w_n & \{l_n, w_n\} &= 0 \\ \{l_n, w_{n+1}\} &= l_{n+2}(w_n - l_n l_{n+1}) & \{l_{n+2}, w_n\} &= l_n(l_{n+1}l_{n+2} - w_{n+1}) \\ \{w_n, w_{n+1}\} &= -(l_n l_{n+1} - w_n)(l_{n+1}l_{n+2} - w_{n+1}) \\ \{w_n, w_{n+2}\} &= l_n l_{n+3}(w_{n+1} - l_{n+1}l_{n+2}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This algebra will be obtained in next section from the certain reduction of L_*W_∞ .

3. Universal algebras L_*W_∞ and LW_∞ .

In this section we give the universal generalization of the first structures (5) and (8) to the case of infinite number of fields with spins running from 2 to ∞ . This algebra have been called L_*W_∞ in previous sections. The objects of study in this section will be the **integer spin fields** (ISF) $\{A_n^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^\infty$ with spins $p+1$. We also use formal notations $A_n^{(0)} \equiv 1$ and $A_n^{(p<0)} \equiv 0$. In examples we will write

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(1)} &\equiv A_n, & A_n^{(2)} &\equiv B_n, & A_n^{(3)} &\equiv C_n, \\ A_n^{(4)} &\equiv D_n, & A_n^{(5)} &\equiv E_n, & \dots \end{aligned}$$

The name ISF is inspired by the continuous limit in which the fields $A_n^{(p)}$ have the following form

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &\rightarrow 1 \oplus w_2 \Delta^2 \oplus O(\Delta^3) \\ B_n &\rightarrow 1 \oplus w_2 \Delta^2 \oplus w_3 \Delta^3 \oplus O(\Delta^4) \\ C_n &\rightarrow 1 \oplus w_2 \Delta^2 \oplus (w_3 \oplus w_2') \Delta^3 \oplus w_4 \Delta^4 \oplus O(\Delta^5) \\ D_n &\rightarrow 1 \oplus w_2 \Delta^2 \oplus (w_3 \oplus w_2') \Delta^3 \oplus (w_4 \oplus w_3' \oplus w_2'' \oplus w_2^2) \Delta^4 \oplus w_5 \Delta^5 \oplus O(\Delta^6) \\ \dots &\quad \dots \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $O(\Delta^m)$ means the terms irrelevant for the purpose of obtaining the continuous limit and $w_k(x)$ are the classical analogues of quantum fields $W^{(p)}(x)$ forming W_∞ -algebra, $W^{(2)}(x) \equiv T(x)$ being energy-momentum tensor and having the OPE of the form

$$T(x) W^{(p)}(z) = \frac{pW^{(p)}(z)}{(x-z)^2} + \frac{\partial W^{(p)}(z)}{x-z}$$

3.a. First bracket for the ISF.

We propose the following natural generalization of the *first* Poisson structures (5) and (8) for the case of infinite number of fields.

$$\{A_n^{(p)}, A_{n+m}^{(q)}\} = \theta_m^{p,q} \cdot (-A_n^{(p)} A_{n+m}^{(q)} + A_n^{(q+m)} A_{n+m}^{(p-m)}) \quad (12)$$

where θ -function is defined as a sum of δ -functions over the appropriate interval

$$\theta_m^{p,q} \equiv \theta(0 \leq p-m \leq q-1) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \delta_{k,p-m}$$

Proof of Jacobi identity is straightforward and relies on the three main properties of this θ -function:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_m^{p,q} &= \theta_{m+k}^{p+k,q} \\ \theta_{m-k}^{p,q+k} &= \theta_m^{p,q} + \theta_{p+1}^{m,k} \\ \theta_{m+k}^{p,q-k} \delta_{q,k+r} &= \theta_m^{p,q} \theta_k^{p-m,r} - \theta_k^{q,r} \theta_{m+k}^{p,q-k} - \theta_{m+k}^{p,r} \theta_m^{r+m+k,q} \end{aligned}$$

We would like to note also that Jacobi identity for this algebra has the form of classical Yang-Baxter equation with discrete spectral parameter. To see this it is sufficient to rewrite (12) in the form

$$\{A_n^{(p)}, A_m^{(q)}\} = r_r^p q_s^q (m-n) A_n^{(r)} A_m^{(s)} \quad (13)$$

Now we are in a position to define the first type of reduction, which we call $L_* W_N$. Namely, we simply set the constraints

$$A_n^{(p)} = 0, \quad \text{for all } p \geq N \quad (14)$$

The obtained algebra $L_* W_N$ defines the first Poisson structure for the lattice analogue of the so-called N -th KdV hierarchy. In particular, algebras (5)– $L_* W_3$ ($A_n \equiv L_n$, $B_n \equiv W_n$) and (8) (for $p_n = 0$)– $L_* Vir$ can be obtained in this way. Surely, knowing the algebra $L_* W_N$ and full set of its Hamiltonians (see next section for explicit expressions), one can easily obtain the *true* LW_N -algebra, like FTV ($= LVir$) and LW_3 , which in continuous limit becomes classical w_N -algebra, corresponding to N -KdV integrable system. Clearly, this algebra gives the *second* bracket for N -KdV lattice hierarchy. General formula also can be obtained and has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_n^{(p)}, A_{n+m}^{(q)}\}_2 &= -A_n^{(p)} A_{n+m-1}^{(1)} A_{n+m}^{(q)} - A_n^{(p)} A_{n+p}^{(1)} A_{n+m}^{(q)} \\ &\quad + A_n^{(p)} A_{n+m-1}^{(q+1)} + A_n^{(p+1)} A_{n+m}^{(q)} \\ &\quad + A_n^{(q+m)} A_{n+m-1}^{(1)} A_{n+m}^{(p-m)} + A_n^{(q+m)} A_{n+p}^{(1)} A_{n+m}^{(p-m)} \\ &\quad - A_n^{(q+m)} A_{n+m-1}^{(p-m+1)} - A_n^{(q+m)} A_{n+m}^{(p-m+1)} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

but there are some technical difficulties for several particular commutators of the operators sitting on the adjacent sites. These commutators (i.e. with $m = 0, \pm 1, p, p \pm 1$) are given by slightly different formulas. We do not bring them here for the lack of place; however, for any finite N all the 2-brackets can be easily computed from the bihamiltonian recursion relation, as all the integrals of motion are known for the system (see Sect. 4).

Ending this paragraph, it is worth noting, that for any LW_N -algebra with finite N one might expect that *all* the fields $A_n^{(p)}$ should be expanded to N -th order in lattice constant; however, as we have established earlier, it is sufficient to consider only *first p terms* in the expansion of $A^{(p)}$ in order to obtain the desired object LW_N .

3.b. Second type of reduction and Xiong-Bonora algebra [13,14]

First of all define the transformation from ISF to the **string generating fields** (SGF) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{n,n} &= A_n^{(1)} \\
L_{n,n+1} &= A_n^{(1)} A_{n+1}^{(1)} - A_n^{(2)} \\
L_{n,n+2} &= A_n^{(1)} A_{n+1}^{(1)} A_{n+2}^{(1)} - A_n^{(1)} A_{n+1}^{(2)} - A_n^{(2)} A_{n+2}^{(1)} + A_n^{(3)} \\
&\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \\
L_{n,n+p-1} &= \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{j=0}^{|\vec{m}|-1} A_{n+M_j}^{(m_{j+1})} (-1)^{p+|\vec{m}|}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of partitions of segment $[n, n+p] \cap Z$ and other notations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{m} &= (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s), \quad s = |\vec{m}|, \\
\sum_{l=1}^s m_l &= p, \quad M_j = \sum_{l=1}^j m_l, \quad M_0 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

The main interesting property of this transformation is that is *involution*, i.e. if we denote the r.h.s. of eq.(16) by $\mathcal{F}_p(\{A_m^{(q)}\})$ than one has

$$A_n^{(p)} = \mathcal{F}_p(\{L_{m,m+q}\}) \tag{17}$$

The original first structure (12) induces some 1-bracket on the SGF's and direct calculation shows that bracket to be nothing but Xiong-Bonora algebra (see formula (15) of Ref.13 or formula (3.40) or ref.14) if one identifies our SGF's with the fields from Ref.14 as

$$L_{n,n+p} \equiv a_{p+1}(n)$$

Now the reduction which we call L^*W_N (W now only reminds us about its origination from L^*W_∞) is defined by setting the *constraints* on the ISF, which can be very simply written in terms of SGF's (16)

$$L_{n,n+p} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad p \geq N \tag{18}$$

This reduction for $N = 2$ gives us an abelian algebra

$$\{A_n, A_m\} = 0$$

In the case of $N = 3$ we obtain the algebra (11) ($A_n \equiv l_n$, $B_n \equiv w_n$) about which we have known from previous section that it gives TLH 1-bracket written in "doubled" space (10), if one rewrite it in terms of SGF's substituting the expressions (17) instead of $A_n^{(p)}$. One should mention here that the name "string generating field" comes from the explicit realization of the $N = 3$ case in terms of the "doubled" space variables (10), in which the original ISF's are expressed via the recursion relation

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n^{(p+1)} &= u_n A_n^{(p)} + v_n v_{n+1} \dots v_{n+p} \\
&= u_n u_{n+1} \dots u_{n+p} + A_n^{(p)} v_{n+p}
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Operating with the SGF's from the very beginning Xiong [13] obtained TLH 1- and $\frac{3}{2}$ -brackets and showed that some appropriate combination of those gives two commuting Virasoro algebras in the continuous limit. However, his scheme failed in obtaining true *second* Poisson structures for $N > 3$ (LW_N -algebras), and so we believe that an appropriate language here is that of ISF's, where one obtains true first brackets for lattice N -KdV hierarchies. We believe, in particular, that true lattice Virasoro is an algebra closed on one field, i.e. FTV algebra which determines the *second* Poisson structure of the TLH *on the surface* $p_n = 0$, rather than the abovementioned linear combination of its 1- and $\frac{3}{2}$ -structures.

4. Hamiltonian structures and Lax representation.

In this section we describe the Lax representation of the integrable system associated with LW_∞ . Formula for L -operator also has been obtained in [13]. Its upper triangular matrix elements are given by the set of SGF's $L_{n,n+p}$ (16), and the lower ones are defined as

$$L_{n,n-k} = \delta_{k,1}, \quad k > 0$$

Hamiltonians as usual are given by

$$\mathcal{H}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \text{Tr}(L^k)$$

and coincide with those of N -KdV hierarchy under the constraints (14).

Again we emphasize the advantage of the ISF's language. In Ref.14 it has been noticed, that equations of motion for $L_{n,n+p}$ in the $N = 4$ case on the surface $L_{n,n} = 0$ cannot be identified with discrete Boussinesq hierarchy as is naturally expected from the multimatrix models intuition because of wrong continuous limit. We see now, that it is not too surprisingly, because only ISF fields give true realization of the lattice N -KdV hierarchy. For example, for $N = 3$ Hamiltonians coincide with those of lattice Boussinesq hierarchy (see Sect.1) and, correspondingly, equations of motion have true continuous limit [8].

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have built the integrable system with infinite number of fields. This system possesses first and second compatible Poisson structures, the latter being the lattice analogue of W_∞ algebra. Certain reduction of this system to $N < \infty$ of fields gives us first and second Poisson structures of lattice N -KdV hierarchies. Phase space of the model in this case can be parametrized by N lattice currents as in the example of Sect. 1. There also exists another reduction, in which, generally all the fields have non-trivial, although as we have seen in Sect.3.b not independent evolutions. The reduction here is revealed in that the phase space of the model is parametrized by only few variables. A good example is $N = 3$ case, in which we obtain Toda lattice hierarchy after the substitution (19). It is noteworthy, that in this particular case we deal with the phenomena analogous to that of the so-called two-boson realization of KP hierarchy in continuous limit [15].

Appendix. Multihamiltonian structure of the TLH.

Below some useful instrumentary concerning TLH is presented. First we introduce the following block-matrix form of j -th symplectic structure:

$$\Omega_{mn}^{(j)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \{p_m, p_n\}_j & \{p_m, u_n\}_j \\ \{u_m, p_n\}_j & \{u_m, u_n\}_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (A.1)$$

Original symplectic structure $\Omega^{(\frac{1}{2})}$ (eq.(7)) in this notation is given by

$$\Omega_{mn}^{(\frac{1}{2})} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_n(\delta_{n,m} - \delta_{n,m+1}) \\ -u_m(\delta_{m,n} - \delta_{m,n+1}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (A.2)$$

First Poisson structure (eq.(8)) is

$$\Omega_{mn}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} u_m\delta_{m,n+1} - u_n\delta_{m,n-1} & p_m u_n(\delta_{m,n} - \delta_{m,n-1}) \\ p_n u_m(\delta_{m,n+1} - \delta_{m,n}) & -u_n u_n(\delta_{m,n-1} - \delta_{m,n+1}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (A.3)$$

One can give the following definition of the **Recursion Operator**

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \Omega^{(1)} \cdot \Omega^{(\frac{1}{2})^{-1}}$$

with explicit form

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} p_n \delta_{k,n} & \delta_{k,n} + \frac{u_{n+1}\theta(n-k+2) - u_n\theta(n-k+1)}{u_k} \\ u_n(\delta_{k+1,n} + \delta_{k,n}) & p_n \delta_{k,n} + \frac{(p_n - p_{n-1})u_n\theta(n-k)}{u_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

By construction the following relation is hold:

$$\vec{v}^{(j)} \cdot \mathcal{R} = \vec{v}^{(j+\frac{1}{2})}$$

where $\vec{v}^{(j)}$ stands for vector field generated by j -th Hamiltonian $v_n^{(j)} = (\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(j)}}{\partial p_n}, \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(j)}}{\partial u_n})$ Using the set of the definitions above, one can easily prove the following **Proposition**

Operator $\mathcal{R}$ defines the hierarchy of compatible Poisson structures

$$\Omega^{(j)} = \mathcal{R}^{2j-1} \cdot \Omega^{(\frac{1}{2})} \quad (A.4)$$

such that

$$\{\mathcal{H}^{(i)}, \begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ u_k \end{pmatrix}\}_j = \{\mathcal{H}^{(i')}, \begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ u_k \end{pmatrix}\}_{j'} \quad \text{when} \quad i + j = i' + j'$$

$$\{\mathcal{H}^{(i)}, \mathcal{H}^{(j)}\}_l = 0 \quad \forall i, j, l$$

References

1. L. Faddeev, L. Takhtadjan, Lect. Notes in Phys. **246** (1986), 166
2. O. Babelon, Phys.Lett. **B238** (1990), 234;
O. Babelon, L. Bonora, Phys.Lett. **B253** (1991), 365
3. A. Volkov, Zap.Nauch.Sem.LOMI **150** (1986), 17; ibid. **151** (1987) 24;
Theor. Math. Phys. **74** (1988) 135
4. F. Falceto, K. Gawedzki, *Lattice Wess-Zumino-Witten models and Quantum Groups*,
preprint **IHES/P/92/73** (1992)
5. A. Zamolodchikov, JETP Lett. **46** (1987), 160; Adv.St.Pure Math. **19** (1989), 641;
Rev.Math.Phys. **1** (1990), 197
6. O. Babelon, Phys.Lett. **B215** (1988), 523
7. H. Braden et al., Nucl.Phys. **B338** (1990), 689
8. A. Belov, K. Chaltikian, " *Lattice analogues of W-algebras and Classical Integrable Equations*",
preprint **ILG-TMP-93-01**, hep-th/9303166
9. B. Feigin, Talk at September Sankt-Peterburg meeting on Geometry and Physics,
1992
10. V. Fateev, S. Lukyanov, Zh.E.T.P. **94**(3) (1988), 23
A. Gerasimov, " *Quantum Group Structure in Minimal Models*",
preprint **ITEP-91-22** (1991);
C. Gómez, G. Sierra, " *Quantum Group Symmetry of Rational Conformal Field Theories*",
preprint **UGVA-DPT-90/04-669** (1990)
11. K. Ueno, K. Takasaki, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **4** (1984), 1
12. L. Faddeev, L. Takhtadjan, " *Hamiltonian Approach in Soliton Theory*" (Springer, Berlin 1987)
13. C. Xiong, Phys. Lett. **bf B279** (1992), 347
14. L. Bonora, C. Xiong, " *Multi-matrix models without continuous limit*",
preprint **SISSA-ISAS 211/92/EP**, (1992)
15. F. Yu, Y.-S. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** (1992), 2996